arXiv:1603.01937v4 [math.NA] 28 Nov 2016

B-spline quasi-interpolation sampling representation and sampling recovery in Sobolev spaces of mixed smoothness

Dinh Dũng

Information Technology Institute, Vietnam National University
144 Xuan Thuy, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam
dinhzung@gmail.com

November 27, 2016 -- Version 0.9

Abstract

We proved direct and inverse theorems on B-spline quasi-interpolation sampling representation with a Littlewood-Paley-type norm equivalence in Sobolev spaces W_p^r of mixed smoothness r, established estimates of the approximation error of recovery in L_q-norm of functions from the unit ball U_p^r in the spaces W_p^r by linear sampling algorithms based on this representation, the asymptotic optimality of these sampling algorithms in terms of Smolyak sampling width $r_n^s(U_p^r, L_q)$ and sampling width $r_n(U_p^r, L_q)$.

Keywords and Phrases Sampling width · Linear sampling algorithms · Smolyak grids · Sobolev spaces of mixed smoothness · B-spline quasi-interpolation sampling representations · Littlewood-Paley-type theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000) 41A15 · 41A05 · 41A25 · 41A58 · 41A63.

1 Introduction

The purpose of the present paper is to study B-spline quasi-interpolation sampling representations with Littlewood-Paley-type norm equivalence in Sobolev spaces of a mixed smoothness, linear sampling algorithms on Smolyak grids based on them for recovery of functions from these spaces, and the optimality of these algorithms. Let us first briefly describe some main results. The historical comments will be given after.

We are interested in quasi-interpolation sampling representation and sampling recovery of functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which are 1-periodic at each variable. It is convenient to consider them as functions defined in the d-torus $\mathbb{T}^d = [0,1]^d$ which is defined as the cross product of d copies of the interval $[0,1]$ with the identification of the end points. To avoid confusion, we use the notation $\mathbb{I}^d$ to denote the standard unit d-cube $[0,1]^d$.

For $0 < p \le \infty$ and $r > 0$, denote by W_p^r the Sobolev-type space of functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$ having uniform mixed smoothness r. If $1 < p < \infty$ and $\max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2}) < r < \ell - 1$, then we prove that every function $f \in W_p^r$ can be represented as B-spline series

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in I(\mathbf{k})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f) N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}, \tag{1.1}$$

converging in the norm of W_p^r, where the coefficient functionals $c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)$ are explicitly constructed as linear combinations of at most m_0 of function values of f for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ which is independent of $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}$ and f, $N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}$ are the tensor product of integer translates of dyadic scaled periodic B-splines of even order ℓ (see Subsection 2.1 for details), and

$$I(\mathbf{k}) := \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d : s_j = 0, 1, ..., 2^{k_j} - 1,\ j \in [d]\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Moreover, for this representation there holds the norm equivalence

$$\Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \Big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \asymp \|f\|_{W^r_p}, \quad \forall f \in W^r_p. \tag{1.3}$$

Let $\mathbf{X}_n = \{\mathbf{x}^j\}_{j=1}^n$ be a set of n points in $\mathbb{T}^d$, $\Phi_n = \{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^n$ a family of n functions on $\mathbb{I}^d$. If f is a function on $\mathbb{T}^d$, for approximately recovering f from the sampled values $f(\mathbf{x}^1), ..., f(\mathbf{x}^n)$, we define the linear sampling algorithm $L_n(X_n, \Phi_n, \cdot)$ by

$$L_n(\mathbf{X}_n, \Phi_n, f) := \sum_{j=1}^n f(\mathbf{x}^j)\varphi_j. \tag{1.4}$$

Based on the B-spline quasi-interpolation representation (1.1), we construct linear sampling algorithms on Smolyak grids induced by partial sums of the series in (1.1) as follows. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the well known periodic Smolyak grid of points $G^d(m) \subset \mathbb{T}^d$ is defined as

$$G^d(m) := \{\mathbf{y} = 2^{-\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d,\ |\mathbf{k}|_1 = m,\ \mathbf{s} \in I(\mathbf{k})\}.$$

Here and in what follows, we use the notations: $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{s \in \mathbb{Z} : s \ge 0\}$; $\mathbf{xy} := (x_1y_1, ..., x_dy_d)$; $2^{\mathbf{x}} := (2^{x_1}, ..., 2^{x_d})$; $|\mathbf{x}|_1 := \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|$ for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$; $[n]$ denotes the set of all natural numbers from 1 to n; x_i denotes the ith coordinate of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, i.e., $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, ..., x_d)$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we define the operator R_m by

$$R_m(f) := \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_1 \le m} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) = \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_1 \le m} \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I(\mathbf{k})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)\, N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}.$$

For functions f on $\mathbb{T}^d$, R_m defines the linear sampling algorithm on the Smolyak grid $G^d(m)$

$$R_m(f) = L_n(\mathbf{Y}_n, \Phi_n, f) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}\in G^d(m)} f(\mathbf{y})\psi_{\mathbf{y}},$$

where $n := |G^d(m)|$, $\mathbf{Y}_n := \{\mathbf{y} \in G^d(m)\}$, $\Phi_n := \{\varphi_{\mathbf{y}}\}_{\mathbf{y}\in G^d(m)}$ and for $\mathbf{y} = 2^{-\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{s}$, $\varphi_{\mathbf{y}}$ are explicitly constructed as linear combinations of at most at most m_0 B-splines $N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{j}}$ for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ which is independent of $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}, m$ and f.

Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $\max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2}) < r < \ell$. Then by using the B-spline quasi-interpolation representation (1.1) with norm equivalence (1.3) we prove that

$$\big\|f - R_m(f)\big\|_q \asymp \|f\|_{W^r_p} \times \begin{cases} 2^{-rm} m^{(d-1)/2}, & p \ge q, \\ 2^{-(r-1/p+1/q)m} & p < q, \end{cases} \quad \forall f \in W^r_p. \tag{1.5}$$

Let us introduce the Smolyak sampling width $r^s_n(F)_q$ characterizing optimality of sampling recovery on Smolyak grids $G^d(m)$ with respect to the function class F by

$$r^s_n(F, L_q) := \inf_{|G^d(m)|\le n,\, \Phi_m} \sup_{f\in F} \|f - S_m(\Phi_m, f)\|_q, \tag{1.6}$$

where for a family $\Phi_m = \{\varphi_{\mathbf{y}}\}_{\mathbf{y}\in G^d(m)}$ of functions we define the linear sampling algorithm $S_m(\Phi_m, \cdot)$ on Smolyak grids $G^d(m)$ by

$$S_m(\Phi_m, f) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}\in G^d(m)} f(\mathbf{y})\varphi_{\mathbf{y}}.$$

The upper index s indicates that we restrict to Smolyak grids here. Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $r > \max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2})$. Denote by U^r_p the unit ball in the space W^r_p. Then we prove the asymptotic order

$$r^s_n(U^r_p, L_q) \asymp \begin{cases} \left(\frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{n}\right)^r (\log n)^{(d-1)/2}, & p \ge q, \\ \left(\frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{n}\right)^{(r-1/p+1/q)}, & p < q. \end{cases}$$

The upper bound follows from (1.5), while the lower bound is established by construction of test functions which is based in the inverse theorem of sampling representation (see Theorem 3.2 below). Moreover, the linear sampling algorithms $R_m(\cdot)$ on the Smolyak grid $G^d(m)$ for which $n := |G^d(m)|$, are asymptotically optimal for $r_n^s(U_p^r, L_q)$.

To study optimality of linear sampling algorithms of the form (1.4) for recovering $f \in F$ from n of their values, we can use also the sampling width

$$r_n(F, L_q) \ := \ \inf_{\mathbf{X}_n, \Phi_n} \ \sup_{f \in U_p^r} \|f - L_n(\mathbf{X}_n, \Phi_n, f)\|_q.$$

For $1 < p < q \le 2$ or $2 \le p < q < \infty$ and $r > \max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2})$, as a consequence of (1.5) and a result on linear width proven in [22] we obtain the asymptotic order

$$r_n(U_p^r, L_q) \ \asymp \ \left(\frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{n}\right)^{r-1/p+1/q}. \tag{1.7}$$

The sparse grids $G^d(m)$ for sampling recovery and numerical integration were first considered by Smolyak [37]. In [38]–[41] and [10]–[12] Smolyak's construction was developed for studying the trigonometric sampling recovery and sampling width for periodic Sobolev classes and Nikol'skii classes having mixed smoothness. Recently, the sampling recovery for Sobolev and Besov classes having mixed smoothness has been investigated in [5, 6, 17, 18, 20, 34, 35, 44]. In particular, for non-periodic functions of mixed smoothness linear sampling algorithms on Smolyak grids have been recently studied in [43] ($d = 2$), [17, 18, 20, 35] using B-spline quasi-interpolation sampling representation. For $1 \le p, q \le \infty$, $0 < \theta \le \infty$ and $r > 1/p$, the asymptotic order of the Smolyak sampling width $r_n^s(U_{p,\theta}^r, L_q)$ has been established in [17, 20] where $U_{p,\theta}^r$ is the Besov class of uniform mixed smoothness r. The first asymptotic order of sampling width $r_n(U_{p,\infty}^r, L_q)$ for $1 < p < q \le 2, \ r > 1/p$, among classes of mixed smoothness was obtained in [10, 11]. For Sobolev classes of mixed smoothness, the first asymptotic order of sampling width $r_n(U_2^r, L_\infty)$ was obtained in [40].

It is remarkable to notice that so far the asymptotic orders of the sampling widths $r_n(U_{p,\theta}^r, L_q)$ and $r_n(U_p^r, L_q)$ are known only in some cases with the condition $p < q$, for which the sampling algorithms R_m on the Smolyak grid $G^d(m)$ are asymptotically optimal. Even the asymptotic order of the "simplest" sampling widths $r_n(U_2^r, L_2)$ is still an outstanding open problem.

In numerical applications for approximation problems involving a large number of variables, Smolyak grids was first considered in [46]. For non-periodic functions of mixed smoothness of integer order, linear sampling algorithms on Smolyak grids have been investigated in [4] employing hierarchical Lagrangian polynomials multi-level basis. There is a very large number of papers on Smolyak grids and their modifications in various problems of approximations, sampling recovery and integration with applications in data mining, mathematical finance, learning theory, numerical solving of PDE and stochastic PDE, etc. to mention all of them. The reader can see the surveys in [4, 31, 25] and the references therein.

Quasi-interpolation based on scaled B-splines with integer knots and constructed from function values at dyadic lattices, possesses good local and approximation properties for smooth functions, see [9, p. 63–65], [8, p. 100-107]. It can be an efficient tool in some high-dimensional approximation problems, especially in applications ones. Thus, one of the important bases for sparse grid high-dimensional approximations having various applications, are the Faber functions (hat functions) which are piecewise linear B-splines of second order [4, 25, 27, 26, 28, 24, 3]. The representation by Faber basis can be obtained by the B-spline quasi-interpolation (see, e. g., [17]).

A central role in sampling recovery of functions having a mixed smoothness, or more generally an anisotropic smoothness play sampling representations which are based on dyadic scaled B-splines with integer knots or trigonometric kernels and constructed from function values at dyadic lattices. These representations are in the form of a B-spline or trigonometric polynomial series provided with discrete equivalent norm for functions in Hölder-Nikol'skii- and Besov-types spaces of a mixed smoothness. By employing them we can construct sampling algorithms for recovery on Smolyak-type grids of functions from the corresponding spaces which in some cases give the asymptotically optimal rate of the approximation error. While the quasi-interpolation and

trigonometric sampling representation theorems are already established for Hölder-Nikol'skii- and Besov-types spaces of a mixed smoothness [11, 14, 17, 18], they are almost not formulated for Sobolev-type spaces of a mixed smoothness. Only a few particular cases are known for a small uniform mixed smoothness [7, 43]. The relations (1.1) and (1.3) present a Littlewood-Paley-type theorem on B-spline quasi-interpolation sampling representation for periodic Sobolev-type spaces of arbitrary uniform mixed smoothness. Independently from the present paper, a trigonometric counterpart of this theorem as well sampling recovery based on it have been investigated in [6].

Finally, we refer the reader to the survey [19] for various aspects, recent development and bibliography on sampling recovery of functions having mixed smoothness and related problems.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present a B-spline quasi-interpolation sampling representation for continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$, and prove an explicit formula for the coefficient functionals in this representation. In Section 3, we prove direct and inverse Littlewood-Paley-type theorem for Sobolev spaces W_p^r. In Section 4, for Sobolev classes U_p^r, we investigate the sampling recovery in L_q-norm by linear sampling algorithms induced by partial sums of B-spline quasi-interpolation sampling representation, optimality of sampling recovery on Smolyak grids and the asymptotic order of $r_n^s(U_p^r, L_q)$ and $r_n(U_p^r, L_q)$.

2 B-spline quasi-interpolation sampling representations

2.1 B-spline quasi-interpolations and sampling representations

In order to construct B-spline quasi-interpolation sampling representations for continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$, we preliminarily introduce quasi-interpolation operators for functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. For a given natural number ℓ, denote by M_ℓ the cardinal B-spline of order ℓ with support $[0, \ell]$ and knots at the points $0, 1, ..., \ell$. We fixed an even number $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and take the cardinal B-spline $M = M_\ell$ of order ℓ. Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda(s)\}_{|j|\le\mu}$ be a given finite even sequence, i.e., $\lambda(-j) = \lambda(j)$ for some $\mu \ge \frac{\ell}{2} - 1$. We define the linear operator Q for functions f on $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$Q(f,x) := \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda(f,s) M(x-s), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\Lambda(f,s) := \sum_{|j|\le\mu} \lambda(j) f(s - j + \ell/2). \tag{2.2}$$

The operator Q is local and bounded in $C(\mathbb{R})$ (see [8, p. 100–109]). An operator Q of the form (2.1)–(2.2) is called a *quasi-interpolation operator in* $C(\mathbb{R})$ if it reproduces $\mathcal{P}_{\ell-1}$, i.e., $Q(f) = f$ for every $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\ell-1}$, where $\mathcal{P}_{\ell-1}$ denotes the set of d-variate polynomials of degree at most $\ell - 1$ in each variable.

If Q is a quasi-interpolation operator of the form (2.1)–(2.2), for $h > 0$ and a function f on $\mathbb{R}$, we define the operator $Q(\cdot; h)$ by $Q(f; h) := \sigma_h \circ Q \circ \sigma_{1/h}(f)$, where $\sigma_h(f, x) = f(x/h)$. Let Q be a quasi-interpolation operator of the form (2.1)–(2.2) in $C(\mathbb{R})$. If $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we introduce the operator Q_k by

$$Q_k(f,x) := Q(f, x; h^{(k)}),\ x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad h^{(k)} := \ell^{-1} 2^{-k}.$$

We define the integer translated dilation $M_{k,s}$ of M by

$$M_{k,s}(x) := M(\ell 2^k x - s),\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then we have for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$Q_k(f)(x) = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{k,s}(f) M_{k,s}(x),\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the coefficient functional $a_{k,s}$ is defined by

$$a_{k,s}(f) := \Lambda(f, s; h^{(k)}) = \sum_{|j|\le\mu} \lambda(j) f(h^{(k)}(s - j + r)). \tag{2.3}$$

Notice that $Q_k(f)$ can be written in the form:

$$Q_k(f)(x) \;=\; \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} f(h^{(k)}(s+r))L_k(x-s),\ \forall x\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{2.4}$$

where the function L_k is defined by

$$L_k := = \sum_{|j|\le\mu} \lambda(j)M_{k,j}. \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) we get for a function f on $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\|Q_k(f)\|_{C(\mathbb{R})} \;\le\; \|L_\Lambda\|_{C(\mathbb{R})}\|f\|_{C(\mathbb{R})} \;\le\; \|\Lambda\|\|f\|_{C(\mathbb{R})}, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$L_\Lambda(x) := = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{|j|\le\mu} \lambda(j)M(x-j-s), \quad \|\Lambda\| = \sum_{|j|\le\mu} |\lambda(j)|. \tag{2.7}$$

For $\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d$, let the mixed operator $Q_{\mathbf{k}}$ be defined by

$$Q_{\mathbf{k}} := \prod_{i=1}^d Q_{k_i}, \tag{2.8}$$

where the univariate operator Q_{k_i} is applied to the univariate function f by considering f as a function of variable x_i with the other variables held fixed. We define the d-variable B-spline $M_{k,s}$ by

$$M_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}) := \prod_{i=1}^d M_{k_i,s_i}(x_i),\ \mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,\ \mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_+^d := \{\mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{Z}^d : s_i\ge 0,\ i\in[d]\}$. Then we have

$$Q_{\mathbf{k}}(f,\mathbf{x}) \;=\; \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}^d} a_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)M_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \forall\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $M_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}$ is the mixed B-spline defined in (2.9), and

$$a_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f) \;=\; \left(\prod_{j=1}^d a_{k_j,s_j}\right)(f), \tag{2.10}$$

and the univariate coefficient functional a_{k_i,s_i} is applied to the univariate function f by considering f as a function of variable x_i with the other variables held fixed.

Since $M(\ell\,2^k x)=0$ for every $k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $x\notin(0,1)$, we can extend the univariate B-spline $M(\ell\,2^k\cdot)$ to an 1-periodic function on the whole $\mathbb{R}$. Denote this periodic extension by N_k and define

$$N_{k,s}(x) := \ N_k(x-h^{(k)}s),\ k\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\ s\in I(k),$$

where $I(k) := \{0,1,...,\ell 2^k-1\}$. We define the d-variable B-spline $N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}$ by

$$N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}) := \prod_{i=1}^d N_{k_i,s_i}(x_i),\ \mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,\ \mathbf{s}\in I(\mathbf{k}),$$

where $I(\mathbf{k}) := \prod_{i=1}^d I(k_i)$. Then we have for functions f on $\mathbb{T}^d$,

$$Q_{\mathbf{k}}(f,\mathbf{x}) \;=\; \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I(\mathbf{k})} a_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(x), \quad \forall x\in\mathbb{T}^d. \tag{2.11}$$

Since the function L_Λ defined in (2.7) is 1-periodic, from (2.6) it follows that for a function f on $\mathbb{T}$,

$$\|Q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\|_{C(\mathbb{T})} \ \le \ \|L_\Lambda\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}\|f\|_{C(\mathbb{T})} \ \le \ \|\Lambda\|\|f\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}, \tag{2.12}$$

For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, we write $\mathbf{k} \to \infty$ if $k_i \to \infty$ for $i \in [d]$). In a way similar to the proof of [18, Lemma 2.2] one can show that for every $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d)$,

$$\|f - Q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\|_{C(\mathbb{T}^d)} \to 0, \ \mathbf{k} \to \infty. \tag{2.13}$$

For convenience we define the univariate operator Q_{-1} by putting $Q_{-1}(f) = 0$ for all f on $\mathbb{I}$. Let the operators $q_{\mathbf{k}}$ be defined in the manner of the definition (2.8) by

$$q_{\mathbf{k}} := \ \prod_{i=1}^{d}\Big(Q_{k_i} - Q_{k_i-1}\Big), \ \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d. \tag{2.14}$$

From the equation $Q_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}' \le \mathbf{k}} q_{\mathbf{k}'}$ and (2.13) it is easy to see that a continuous function f has the decomposition $f \ = \ \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)$ with the convergence in the norm of $C(\mathbb{T}^d)$. From the refinement equation for the B-spline M, in the univariate case, we can represent the component functions $q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)$ as

$$q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) = \ \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I(\mathbf{k})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}, \tag{2.15}$$

where $c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}$ are certain coefficient functionals of f. In the multivariate case, the representation (2.15) holds true with the $c_{k,s}$ which are defined in the manner of the definition (2.10) by

$$c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f) \ = \ \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{d} c_{k_j,s_j}\Big)(f).$$

See [17] for details. Thus, we have proven the following periodic B-spline quasi-interpolation representation for continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$.

Lemma 2.1 *Every continuous function f on $\mathbb{T}^d$ is represented as B-spline series*

$$f \ = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}\sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I(\mathbf{k})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}, \tag{2.16}$$

converging in the norm of $C(\mathbb{T}^d)$, where the coefficient functionals $c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)$ are explicitly constructed as linear combinations of at most m_0 of function values of f for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ which is independent of $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}$ and f.

2.2 A formula for the coefficients in B-spline quasi-interpolation representations

In this subsection, we find an explicit formula for the coefficients $c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)$ in the representation (2.16) related to the ℓth difference operator $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^{\ell}$, which plays an important role in the proof of a direct theorem of sampling representation of functions in the Sobolev space W_p^r.

For univariate functions f on $\mathbb{T}$ the ℓth difference operator Δ_h^ℓ is defined by

$$\Delta_h^\ell(f,x) := \ \sum_{j=0}^{\ell}(-1)^{\ell-j}\binom{\ell}{j}f(x+jh). \tag{2.17}$$

If u is any subset of $[d]$, for multivariate functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$ the mixed (ℓ,u)th difference operator $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^{\ell,u}$ is defined by

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^{\ell,u} := \ \prod_{i\in u}\Delta_{h_i}^{\ell}, \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^{\ell,\varnothing} := \ I,$$

where the univariate operator $\Delta_{h_i}^{\ell}$ is applied to the univariate function f by considering f as a function of variable x_i with the other variables held fixed, and $I(f) := f$ for functions f on $\mathbb{T}^d$. We also use the abbreviation $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^{\ell} := \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^{\ell,[d]}$.

If $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define the shift operator $T_{\mathbf{h}}$ for functions f on $\mathbb{T}^d$ by $T_{\mathbf{h}}(f) := f(\cdot + \mathbf{h})$. Recall that a d-variate Laurent polynomial is call a function P of the form

$$P(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in A} c_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{s}}, \tag{2.18}$$

where A is a finite subset in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{s}} := \prod_{j=1}^d z_j^{s_j}$. A d-variate Laurent polynomial P as (2.18) generates the operator $T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[P]}$ by

$$T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[P]}(f) = \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in A} c_{\mathbf{s}} T_{\mathbf{sh}}(f). \tag{2.19}$$

Sometimes we also write $T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[P]} = T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[P(\mathbf{z})]}$. Notice that any operation over polynomials generates a corresponding operation over operators $T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[P]}$. Thus, in particular, we have

$$T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[a_1P_1+a_2P_2]}(f) \;=\; a_1T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[P_1]}(f) + a_2T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[P_2]}(f), \quad T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[P_1.P_2]}(f) \;=\; T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[P_1]} \circ T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[P_2]}(f).$$

By definitions we have

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^{\ell} = \; T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[D_\ell]}, \; D_\ell := \prod_{j=1}^d (z_j - 1)^\ell, \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^{\ell,u} = \; T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[D_{\ell,u}]}, \; D_{\ell,u} := \prod_{j\in u} (z_j - 1)^\ell.$$

We say that a d-variate polynomial is a *tensor product polynomial* if it is of the form $P(\mathbf{z}) = \; \prod_{j=1}^d P_j(z_j)$, where $P_j(z_j)$ are univariate polynomial in variable z_j.

Lemma 2.2 *Let P be a tensor product Laurent polynomial, $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $h_j \neq 0$, and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[P]}(g) = 0$ for every polynomial $g \in \mathcal{P}_{\ell-1}$ Then P has a factor D_ℓ and consequently,*

$$T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[P]} \;=\; T_{\mathbf{h}}^{[P^*]} \circ \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^{\ell}, \quad P(\mathbf{z}) = D_\ell(\mathbf{z})P^*(\mathbf{z}),$$

where P^* is a tensor product Laurent polynomial.

Proof. By the tensor product argument it is enough to prove the lemma for the case $d = 1$. We prove this case by induction on l. Let $P(z) = \sum_{s=-m}^n c_s z^s$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Consider first the case $l = 1$. Assume that $T_h^{[P]}(g) = 0$ for every constant functions g. Then replacing by $g_0 = 1$ in (2.19) we get $T_h^{[P]}(g_0) \;=\; \sum_{s=-m}^n c_s \;=\; 0$. By Bézout's theorem P has a factor $(z-1)$. This proves the lemma for $l = 1$. Assume it is true for $l-1$ and $T_h^{[P]}(g) = 0$ for every polynomial g of degree at most $l-1$. By the induction assumption we have

$$T_h^{[P]} \;=\; T_h^{[P_1]} \circ \Delta_h^{l-1}, \quad P(z) := (z-1)^{l-1}P_1(z). \tag{2.20}$$

We take a proper polynomial g_l of degree $l-1$ (with the nonzero eldest coefficient). Hence $\psi_l = \Delta_h^{l-1}(g_l) = a$ where a is a nonzero constant. Similarly to the case $l = 1$, from the equations $0 \;=\; T_h^{[P]}(g_l) \;=\; T_h^{[P_1]}(\psi_l)$ we conclude that P_1 has a factor $(z-1)$. Hence, by (2.20) we can see that P has a factor $(z-1)^l$. The lemma is proved. □

Let us return to the definition of quasi-interpolation operator Q of the form (2.1) induced by the sequence Λ as in (2.2) which can be uniquely characterized by the univariate symmetric Laurent polynomial

$$P_\Lambda(z) := \; z^{\ell/2} \sum_{|s|\le\mu} \lambda(s) z^s. \tag{2.21}$$

Let the d-variate symmetric tensor product Laurent polynomial P_Λ be given by

$$P_\Lambda(\mathbf{z}) := \prod_{j=1}^{d} z_j^{\ell/2} \sum_{|s_j|\le\mu} \lambda(s_j) z_j^{s_j}.$$

For the periodic quasi-interpolation operator

$$q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \;=\; \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I(\mathbf{k})} a_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f) N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}$$

given as in (2.11), from (2.3) we get

$$a_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f) \;=\; T^{[P_\Lambda]}_{\mathbf{h}^{(\mathbf{k})}}(f)\big(\mathbf{s}\mathbf{h}^{(\mathbf{k})}\big), \tag{2.22}$$

where $\mathbf{h}^{(\mathbf{k})} := \big(h_1^{(k_1)}, ..., h_d^{(k_d)}\big)$.

Let us first find an explicit formula for the univariate operator $Q_k(f)$. We have for $k > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k(f) \;&=\; \sum_{s\in I(k)} T^{[P_\Lambda]}_{h^{(k)}}(f)(sh^{(k)}))N_{k,s} \\ &=\; \sum_{s\in I(k-1)} T^{[P_\Lambda]}_{h^{(k)}}(f)(2sh^{(k)}))N_{k,2s} \;+\; \sum_{s\in I(k-1)} T^{[P_\Lambda]}_{h^{(k)}}(f)((2s+1)h^{(k)}))N_{k,2s+1}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.22) and the refinement equation for M, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{k-1}(f) \;&=\; \sum_{s\in I(k-1)} T^{[P_\Lambda]}_{h^{(k-1)}}(f)(sh^{(k-1)}))\left[2^{-\ell+1}\sum_{j=0}^{\ell}\binom{\ell}{j}N_{k,2s+j}\right] \\ &=\; 2^{-\ell+1}\sum_{j=0}^{r}\binom{\ell}{2j}\sum_{s\in I(k-1)} T^{[P_\Lambda]}_{h^{(k-1)}}(f)(sh^{(k-1)}))N_{k,2s+2j} \\ &+ 2^{-\ell+1}\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\binom{\ell}{2j+1}\sum_{s\in I(k-1)} T^{[P_\Lambda]}_{h^{(k-1)}}(f)(sh^{(k-1)}))N_{k,2s+2j+1} \\ &=:\; Q^{\rm even}_{k-1}(f) + Q^{\rm odd}_{k-1}(f). \end{aligned}$$

By the identities $h^{(k-1)} = 2h^{(k)}$, $N_{k,\ell 2^k+m} = N_{k,m}$ and $f(h^{(k)})(\ell 2^k + m) = f(h^{(k)}m)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{\rm even}_{k-1}(f) \;&=\; 2^{-\ell+1}\sum_{j=0}^{r}\binom{\ell}{2j}\sum_{s\in j+I(k-1)} T^{[P_\Lambda]}_{h^{(k)}}(f)(2(s-j)h^{(k)}))N_{k,2s} \\ &=\; 2^{-\ell+1}\sum_{j=0}^{r}\binom{\ell}{2j}\sum_{s\in I(k-1)} T^{[P_\Lambda]}_{h^{(k)}}(f)(2(s-j)h^{(k)}))N_{k,2s} \\ &=\; \sum_{s\in I(k-1)} T^{[P'_{\rm even}]}_{h^{(k)}}(f)(2sh^{(k)})N_{k,2s}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P'_{\rm even}(z) :=\; 2^{-\ell+1}P_\Lambda(z^2)\sum_{j=0}^{r}\binom{\ell}{2j}z^{-2j} \tag{2.23}$$

In a similar way we obtain

$$Q_{k-1}^{\rm odd}(f) \;=\; \sum_{s\in I(k-1)} T_{h^{(k)}}^{[P'_{\rm odd}]}(f)((2s+1)h^{(k)})N_{k,2s+1},$$

where

$$P'_{\rm odd}(z) := \; 2^{-\ell+1}P_\Lambda(z^2)\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\binom{\ell}{2j+1}z^{-2j-1}. \tag{2.24}$$

We define

$$P_{\rm even} := \; P_\Lambda - P'_{\rm even}, \qquad P_{\rm odd} := \; P_\Lambda - P'_{\rm odd} \tag{2.25}$$

Then from the definition $q_k(f) = Q_k(f) - Q_{k-1}(f)$ we receive the following representation for $q_k(f)$,

$$q_0(f) \;=\; \sum_{s\in I(0)} T_{h^{(0)}}^{[P_\Lambda]}(f)(sh^{(0)}))N_{0,s}, \tag{2.26}$$

and for $k>0$,

$$q_k(f) \;=\; q_k^{\rm even}(f) + q_k^{\rm odd}(f) \tag{2.27}$$

with

$$q_k^{\rm even}(f) = \sum_{s\in I(k-1)} T_{h^{(k)}}^{[P_{\rm even}]}(f)(2sh^{(k)})N_{k,2s},$$

$$q_k^{\rm odd}(f) = \sum_{s\in I(k-1)} T_{h^{(k)}}^{[P_{\rm odd}]}(f)((2s+1)h^{(k)})N_{k,2s+1}.$$

From the definitions of Q_k and q_k it follows that

$$T_{h^{(k)}}^{[P_{\rm even}]}(g)(2sh^{(k)}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T_{h^{(k)}}^{[P_{\rm odd}]}(g)((2s+1)h^{(k)}) = 0 \quad \text{for every} \quad g\in\mathcal{P}_r.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.2 we prove the following lemma for the univariate operators q_k.

Lemma 2.3 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\rm even}(z) =&\; D_\ell(z)P^*_{\rm even}(z)\\ P_{\rm odd}(z) =&\; D_\ell(z)P^*_{\rm odd}(z). \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

where $P^*_{\rm even}$, $P^*_{\rm odd}$ are a symmetric Laurent polynomial. Therefore, in the representation (2.26)–(2.27) *of $Q_k(f)$, we have for $k>0$,*

$$q_k^{\rm even}(f) = \sum_{s\in I(k-1)} T_{h^{(k)}}^{[P^*_{\rm even}]}\circ\Delta_{h^{(k)}}^\ell(f)(2sh^{(k)})N_{k,2s},$$

$$q_k^{\rm odd}(f) = \sum_{s\in I(k-1)} T_{h^{(k)}}^{[P^*_{\rm odd}]}\circ\Delta_{h^{(k)}}^\ell(f)((2s+1)h^{(k)})N_{k,2s+1}.$$

Equivalently, in the representation (2.15) *of $Q_k(f)$, we have for $s\in I(0)$*

$$c_{0,s}(f) \;=\; T_{h^{(0)}}^{[P_\Lambda]}(f)(sh^{(0)}),$$

and for $k>0$ and $s\in I(k)$,

$$c_{k,s}(f) \;=\; \begin{cases} T_{h^{(k)}}^{[P^*_{\rm even}]}\circ\Delta_{h^{(k)}}^\ell(f)(sh^{(k)}), & s \text{ even}\\ T_{h^{(k)}}^{[P^*_{\rm odd}]}\circ\Delta_{h^{(k)}}^\ell(f)(sh^{(k)}), & s \text{ odd.}\end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider the representation (2.15) for $Q_k(f)$ and $d = 1$. If g is arbitrary polynomial of degree at most $\ell - 1$, then since Q_k reproduces g we have $Q_k(g) = 0$ and consequently, $c_{k,s}(g) = 0$ for $k > 0$. The equations (2.26)–(2.27) give an explicit formula for the coefficient $c_{k,s}(g)$ as $T_{h^{(k)}}^{[P_{\rm even}]}(g)(2sh^{(k)})$ and $T_{h^{(k)}}^{[P_{\rm odd}]}(g)((2s+1)h^{(k)})$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2 we get (2.28). □

Put $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{s \in \mathbb{Z} : s \geq 0\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+^d(u) := \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d : s_i = 0,\ i \notin u\}$ for a set $u \subset [d]$.

Theorem 2.1 *In the representation* (2.15) *of* $Q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)$*, we have for every* $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d(u)$ *and* $\mathbf{s} \in I(\mathbf{k})$*,*

$$c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f) \ = \ T_{\mathbf{h^{(k)}}}^{[P_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}]}(f)(\mathbf{sh^{(k)}}), \tag{2.29}$$

where

$$P_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{z}) \ = \ \prod_{j \notin u} P_\Lambda(z_j) \prod_{j \in u} P_{k_j,s_j}^*(z_j) \prod_{j \in u} D_\ell(z_j), \tag{2.30}$$

$$P_{k_j,s_j}^*(z_j) \ = \ \begin{cases} P_{\rm even}^*(z_j), & s \ \textit{even}, \\ P_{\rm odd}^*(z_j), & s \ \textit{odd}. \end{cases} \tag{2.31}$$

Proof. Indeed, from the definition of $c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)$ and Lemma 2.3 we have for every $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d(u)$ and $\mathbf{s} \in I(\mathbf{k})$,

$$c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f) \ = \ \left(\prod_{j=1}^d T_{h_j^{(k)}}^{[P_{k_j,s_j}]}\right)(f)(\mathbf{sh^{(k)}}), \ = \ T_{\mathbf{h^{(k)}}}^{[P_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}]}(f)(\mathbf{sh^{(k)}}),$$

where

$$P_{k_j,s_j}(z_j) \ = \ \begin{cases} P_\Lambda(z_j), & k_j = 0, \\ P_{\rm even}^*(z_j) D_\ell(z_j), & k_j > 0,\ s \text{ even} \\ P_{\rm odd}^*(z_j) D_\ell(z_j), & k_j > 0,\ s \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

□

2.3 Examples

The operator Q is induced by the sequence Λ as in (2.2) which can be uniquely characterized by the univariate symmetric Laurent polynomial P_Λ. In this subsection, we give some examples of the univariate symmetric Laurent polynomial P_Λ characterizing quasi-interpolation operator Q of the form (2.1). For a given P_Λ, the Laurent polynomials $P_{\rm even}^*$ and $P_{\rm odd}^*$ can be computed from (2.23)–(2.25).

Let us consider the case $\ell = 2$ when $M(x) \ = \ (1 - |x - 1|)_+$ is the piece-wise linear cardinal B-spine with knot at $0, 1, 2$. Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda(s)\}_{j=0}$ $(\mu = 0)$ be a given by $\lambda(0) = 1$. If N_k is the periodic extension of $M(2^{k+1}\cdot)$, then

$$N_{k,s}(x) := \ N_k(x - s),\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ s \in I(k),$$

where $I(k) := \{0, 1, ..., 2^{k+1} - 1\}$. Consider the related periodic nodal quasi-interpolation operator for functions f on $\mathbb{T}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$Q_k(f, x) = \sum_{s \in I(k)} f(2^{-(k+1)}(s + 1)) N_{k,s}(x)$$

We have

$$P_\Lambda(z) \;=\; z,$$

$$P_{\rm even}(z) \;=\; -\frac{1}{2}(z-1)^2, \quad P^*_{\rm even}(z) \;=\; -\frac{1}{2},$$

$$P_{\rm odd}(z) = \; P^*_{\rm odd}(z) \;=\; 0,$$

and

$$\|L_\Lambda\| \;=\; 1, \quad \|P^*_{\rm even}\| \;=\; \frac{1}{2}, \quad \|P^*_{\rm odd}\| \;=\; 0.$$

Hence,

$$q_0(f) \;=\; \sum_{s=0}^{1} T^{[P_\Lambda]}_{2^{-1}}(f)(2^{-1}s)N_{0,s} \;=\; f(0),$$

and for $k>0$,

$$q_k(f) \;=\; q_k^{\rm even}(f) \;=\; \sum_{s=0}^{2^k-1}\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta^2_{2^{-(k+1)}}f(2^{-k}s)\right\}N_{k,2s}.$$

We show that after redefining $N_{k,2s}$ as $\varphi_{k,s}$, the quasi-interpolation representation (2.16) becomes the classical periodic Faber series. We introduce the univariate hat functions $\varphi_{k,s}$ by

$$\varphi_{0,0} := 1, \quad \varphi_{k,s} := N_{k,2s}, \; k>0 \; s\in Z(k),$$

where $Z(0):=\{0\}$ and $Z(k):=\{0,1,...,2^{k-1}-1\}$. Put $Z(\mathbf{k}):=\prod_{i=1}^d Z(k_i)$. For $\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+$, $\mathbf{s}\in Z(\mathbf{k})$, define the d-variate hat functions

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}) \;:=\; \prod_{i=1}^d \varphi_{k_i,s_i}(x_i),$$

and the d-variate periodic Faber system $\mathcal{F}_d$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_d := \{\varphi_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}} : \mathbf{s}\in Z(\mathbf{k}), \; \mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+\}.$$

For functions f on $\mathbb{T}$, we define the univariate linear functionals $\lambda_{k,s}$ by

$$\lambda_{k,s}(f) \;:=\; -\frac{1}{2}\Delta^2_{2^{-k}}(f,2^{-k+1}s),\; k>0, \text{ and } \lambda_{0,0}(f) \;:=\; f(0).$$

Let the d-variate linear functionals $\lambda_{k,s}$ be defined as

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f) \;:=\; \lambda_{k_1,s_1}(\lambda_{k_2,s_2}(...\lambda_{k_d,s_d}(f))),$$

where the univariate functional λ_{k_i,s_i} is applied to the univariate function f by considering f as a function of variable x_i with the other variables held fixed. It is well known that the d-variate periodic Faber system $\mathcal{F}_d$ is a basis in $C(\mathbb{T}^d)$, and a function $f\in C(\mathbb{T}^d)$ can be represented by the Faber series

$$f \;=\; \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \;=\; \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+}\sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I(\mathbf{k})} \lambda_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)\varphi_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}, \tag{2.32}$$

converging in the norm of $C(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Let us consider the case $\ell=4$ when $M(x)$ is the cubic cardinal B-spine with knot at $0,1,2,3,4$. We define a sequence Λ of the form (2.2) inducing a quasi-interpolation Q via the polynomial P_Λ as in (2.21) which uniquely defines Λ. One of possible choices is

$$P_\Lambda(z) \;=\; \frac{z^2}{6}(-z+8-z^{-1}) \;=\; -\frac{1}{6}z^3+\frac{8}{6}z^2-\frac{1}{6}z.$$

Then, we have

$$P_{\rm even}(z) \;=\; (z-1)^4 P^*_{\rm even}(z), \quad P^*_{\rm even}(z) \;=\; \frac{1}{48} z^{-2}\Big(z^4+4z^3+8z^2+4z+1\Big),$$

$$P_{\rm odd}(z) := \; (z-1)^4 P^*_{\rm odd}(z), \quad P^*_{\rm odd}(z) := \; \frac{1}{12}\Big(z^2+4z+1\Big),$$

and

$$\|L_\Lambda\| \;=\; \frac{11}{9}, \quad \|P^*_{\rm even}\| \;=\; \frac{3}{8}, \quad \|P^*_{\rm odd}\| \;=\; \frac{1}{2}.$$

3 Direct and inverse theorems of sampling representation

3.1 Function spaces of mixed smoothness

We define the univariate Bernoulli kernel

$$F_r(x) := \; 1 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-r}\cos(kx - r\pi/2), \quad x \in \mathbb{T},$$

and the multivariate Bernoulli kernels as the corresponding tensor products

$$F_r(\mathbf{x}) := \; \prod_{j=1}^{d} F_r(x_j), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d.$$

Let $r > 0$ and $0 < p \le \infty$. Denote by $L_p = L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ the quasi-normed space of functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$ with the pth integral quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ for $0 < p < \infty$, and the ess sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ for $p = \infty$. If $r > 0$ and $1 \le p \le \infty$, we define the Sobolev space W_p^r of mixed smoothness r by

$$W_p^r := \; \Big\{ f \in L_p : \; f \;=\; F_r * \varphi := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} F_r(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\varphi(\mathbf{y})\,{\rm d}\,\mathbf{y}, \quad \|\varphi\|_p < \infty \Big\}, \tag{3.1}$$

and $\|f\|_{W_p^r} := \|\varphi\|_p$ for f represented as in (3.1). For $1 < p < \infty$, the space W_p^r coincides with the set of all $f \in L_p$ such that the norm

$$\|f\|_{W_p^r} := \; \Big\| \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{f}(\mathbf{s})(1+|s_1|^2)^{r/2} \,\ldots\, (1+|s_d|^2)^{r/2}\, e^{\pi i(\mathbf{s},\cdot)} \Big\|_p$$

is finite, where $\hat{f}(\mathbf{s})$ denotes the usual $\mathbf{s}$th Fourier coefficient of f. There are some different equivalent definitions of W_p^r, for instance, in terms of Weil fractional derivatives (see, e.g, [13]).

We use the notations: $A_n(f) \ll B_n(f)$ if $A_n(f) \le CB_n(f)$ with C an absolute constant not depending on n and/or $f \in W$, and $A_n(f) \asymp B_n(f)$ if $A_n(f) \ll B_n(f)$ and $B_n(f) \ll A_n(f)$. Denote by $\lfloor y \rfloor$ the integer part of $y \in \mathbb{R}$. For a function $f \in L_p$ and a vector $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ we define the set

$$\Pi(\mathbf{k}) = \big\{ \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \lfloor 2^{k_i - 1} \rfloor \le |s_i| < 2^{k_i}, i \in [d] \big\},$$

and the function

$$\delta_{\mathbf{k}}(f,\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in\Pi(\mathbf{k})} \hat{f}(\mathbf{s}) e^{\pi i(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{x})}.$$

For the following lemma see [33] (also [2, Chapter III, 15.2]).

Lemma 3.1 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $r > 0$. Then we have the following norm equivalence*

$$\|f\|_{W_p^r} \asymp \left\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \left| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} \delta_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \right|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \right\|_p, \quad \forall f \in W_p^r.$$

Put $P_{\mathbf{k}} := \{\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^d : |h_i| \le 2^{-k_i},\ i \in [d]\}$. The following lemma has been proven in [45].

Lemma 3.2 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $r < \ell$. Then we have the following norm equivalence*

$$\|f\|_{W_p^r} \asymp \sum_{e \subset [d]} \left\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d(e)} \left(2^{(r+1)|\mathbf{k}|_1} \int_{P_{\mathbf{k}}} \left| \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^{\ell,e}(f) \right| \mathrm{d}\mathbf{h} \right)^2 \Big)^{1/2} \right\|_p, \quad \forall f \in W_p^r.$$

For $u \subset [d]$, let

$$\omega_\ell^u(f, \mathbf{t})_p := \sup_{h_i < t_i, i \in u} \|\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^{\ell,u}(f)\|_p,\ \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{I}^d,$$

be the mixed (ℓ, u)th modulus of smoothness of f (in particular, $\omega_l^{\varnothing}(f,t)_p = \|f\|_p$).

If $0 < p, \theta \le \infty$, $r > 0$ and $\ell > r$, we introduce the quasi-semi-norm $|f|_{B_{p,\theta}^{r,u}}$ for functions $f \in L_p$ by

$$|f|_{B_{p,\theta}^{r,u}} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\mathbb{I}^d} \{ \prod_{i \in u} t_i^{-r} \omega_\ell^u(f, \mathbf{t})_p \}^\theta \prod_{i \in u} t_i^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}\, \mathbf{t} \right)^{1/\theta}, & \theta < \infty, \\ \sup_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{I}^d} \prod_{i \in u} t_i^{-r} \omega_\ell^u(f, \mathbf{t})_p, & \theta = \infty \end{cases}$$

(in particular, $|f|_{B_{p,\theta}^{\alpha,\emptyset}} = \|f\|_p$).

For $0 < p, \theta \le \infty$ and $0 < r < l$, the Besov space $B_{p,\theta}^r$ is defined as the set of functions $f \in L_p$ for which the Besov quasi-norm $\|f\|_{B_{p,\theta}^r}$ is finite. The Besov quasi-norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,\theta}^r} := \sum_{u \subset [d]} |f|_{B_{p,\theta}^{r,u}}.$$

3.2 Maximal functions

For a locally integrable function f on $\mathbb{T}$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is defined as

$$M(f, x) := \sup_{h > 0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

For $i \in [d]$ a locally integrable function f on $\mathbb{T}^d$, the partial Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $M_i(f)$ is defined as the univariate maximal function in variable x_i by considering f as a univariate function of x_i with the other variables held fixed. The mixed Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is defined as

$$\mathbf{M}(f) := M_d(M_{d-1}(\cdots M_1(f) \cdots)).$$

From a result in [21] follows

Lemma 3.3 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\big(f_{\mathbf{k}}\big)_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d}$ be a sequence of locally integrable functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$. The we have*

$$\left\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} |\mathbf{M}(f_{\mathbf{k}})|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \right\|_p \ll \left\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} |f_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \right\|_p.$$

Let $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with positive components. For a continuous function f on $\mathbb{T}^d$, the Peetre maximal function is defined as

$$P_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}(f,\mathbf{x}) := \sup_{\mathbf{h}\in\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{|f(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h})|}{(1+m_1|h_1|)^{n_1}\cdots(1+m_d|h_d|)^{n_d}}.$$

If $\mathbf{n} = (n,...,n)$, we write $P_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}(f,\mathbf{x}) := P_{\mathbf{m},n}(f,\mathbf{x})$.

For the proof of the following lemma see [36, Lemma 2.3.3] and [45, Lemma 3.3.1].

Lemma 3.4 *For the univariate trigonometric polynomial f of degree $\le m$, we have*

$$|\Delta_h^\ell(f,x)| \;\le\; C\,\min(1,|mh|^\ell)\max(1,|mh|^n)\,P_{m,n}(f,x),$$

where $C>0$ is a constant independent of f, m, h.

For the proof of the following lemma see [45].

Lemma 3.5 *Let $1<p<\infty$ and $\left(f_{\mathbf{k}}\right)_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}$ be a sequence of trigonometric polynomials $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ of degree $\mathbf{m}^{(\mathbf{k})}$, and $\mathbf{n}^{(\mathbf{k})}$ be such that $n_i^{(\mathbf{k})} > \max(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{2})$, $i\in[d]$. Then we have The we have*

$$\left\|\Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d} |P_{\mathbf{m}^{(\mathbf{k})},\mathbf{n}^{(\mathbf{k})}}(f_{\mathbf{k}})|^2\Big)^{1/2}\right\|_p \le C\left\|\Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d} |f_{\mathbf{k}}|^2\Big)^{1/2}\right\|_p,$$

where $C>0$ is a constant independent of f and $\left(\mathbf{m}^{(\mathbf{k})}\right)_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}$.

3.3 Direct theorem of sampling representation

In this subsection we prove the following direct theorem of B-spline sampling representation in Sobolev space of mixed smoothness.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $1<p<\infty$ and $\max(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{2})<r<\ell$. Then every function $f\in W_p^r$ can be represented as the series* (2.16) *converging in the norm of W_p^r, and there holds the inequality*

$$\left\|\Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}\Big|2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1}q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\Big|^2\Big)^{1/2}\right\|_p \ll \|f\|_{W_p^r}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Let $f\in W_p^r$. Put

$$I^*(\mathbf{k}) := \{\mathbf{s}\in I(\mathbf{k}) : s_i = 0,\ell,2\ell,...,(2^k-1)\ell,\ i\in[d]\}, \tag{3.3}$$

and $I_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{k}) := \mathbf{n} + I^*(\mathbf{k})$ for $\mathbf{n}\in\{0,..,\ell-1\}^d$. Then we can split $q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)$ into a sum as

$$q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \;=\; \sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\{0,..,\ell-1\}^d} q_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{n}}(f) \;=\; \sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\{0,..,\ell-1\}^d}\ \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{k})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)\,N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}.$$

Hence,

$$\left\|\Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}\Big|2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1}q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\Big|^2\Big)^{1/2}\right\|_p \le \sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\{0,..,\ell-1\}^d}\left\|\Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}\Big|2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1}q_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{n}}(f)\Big|^2\Big)^{1/2}\right\|_p$$

and consequently, to prove (3.2) it is sufficient to show that each term in sum in the right hand side $\ll \|f\|_{W_p^r}$. We prove this for instance, for the term corresponding to $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}$. Let us rewrite the inequality to be proven in the following more convenient form

$$A(f) := \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d} \Big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q_{\mathbf{k}}^*(f) \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p = \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d} \Big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I^*(\mathbf{k})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)\, N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}} \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \ll \|f\|_{W_p^r}. \tag{3.4}$$

For a vector $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ we define the function

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} := \begin{cases} \delta_{\mathbf{k}}(f), & \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Notice that since $f \in W_p^r$ with $r > \frac{1}{p}$, we can write for every $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \;=\; \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

which yields the inequality

$$\Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d} \Big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I^*(\mathbf{k})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)\, N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}} \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \le \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d} \Big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I^*(\mathbf{k})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}})\, N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}} \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p. \tag{3.6}$$

We give a preliminary estimate for the terms in the right hand side

$$A_{\mathbf{m}}(f) := \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d} \Big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q_{\mathbf{k}}^*(f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}) \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p = \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d} \Big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I^*(\mathbf{k})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}})\, N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}} \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p. \tag{3.7}$$

In the next step, we establish an estimate for $q_{\mathbf{k}}^*(f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}})$. Denote by $\sigma(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s})$ the support of the B-spline $N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}$. Then by the construction, for every $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, the intersection of the interiors of $\sigma(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s})$ and $\sigma(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}')$ is empty for different $\mathbf{s},\mathbf{s}' \in I^*(\mathbf{k})$, and

$$\mathbb{T}^d \;=\; \cup_{\mathbf{s}\in I^*(\mathbf{k})} \sigma(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}). \tag{3.8}$$

Hence, we derive that

$$|q_{\mathbf{k}}^*(f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}})(\mathbf{x})| \;\le\; |c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}})| \max_{\mathbf{y}\in\sigma(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s})} N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{y}) \;\ll\; |c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}})|, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \sigma(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}). \tag{3.9}$$

Fix a number $\nu > 0$ such that $\max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2}) < \nu < r$. From the last inequality we want to the following inequality

$$q_{\mathbf{k}}^*(f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}})(\mathbf{x}) \;\ll\; P_{2^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}},\nu}(f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}},\mathbf{x}) \prod_{i=1}^d \min(1, 2^{\ell m_i}) \max(1, 2^{\nu m_i}), \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d,\ \forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d,\ \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{3.10}$$

We first obtain the univariate case of this inequality which is of the form

$$q_k^*(f_{k+m})(x) \;\ll\; P_{2^{k+m},\nu}(f_{k+m},x) \min(1, 2^{\ell m}) \max(1, 2^{\nu m}), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{T},\ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.11}$$

from the inequality for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$|q_k^*(f_{k+m})(x)| \;\ll\; |c_{k,s}(f_{k+m})|, \quad \forall x \in \sigma(k,s),\ \forall s \in I^*(k). \tag{3.12}$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{T}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be given. Then by (3.8) there is a $s \in I^*(k)$ such that $x \in \sigma(k,s)$. Notice that $|x - sh^{(k)}| \le 2^{-k}$. If $k = 0$, then by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$c_{0,s}(f_{k+m}) = T_{h^{(0)}}^{[P_\Lambda]}(f_{k+m})(sh^{(0)}),$$

and therefore,

$$|c_{k,s}(f_{k+m})| \ll |f_{k+m}(sh^{(0)})| \le \sup_{|y|\le 1} |f_{k+m}(x+y)| \le \sup_{|y|\le 1} \frac{|f_{k+m}(x+y)|}{\left(1+2^k|y|\right)^\nu} \le P_{2^k,\nu}(x).$$

If $k>0$, by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$c_{k,s}(f) = \begin{cases} T_{h^{(k)}}^{[P^*_{\rm even}]} \circ \Delta^\ell_{h^{(k)}}(f)(sh^{(k)}), & s \text{ even} \\ T_{h^{(k)}}^{[P^*_{\rm odd}]} \circ \Delta^\ell_{h^{(k)}}(f)(sh^{(k)}), & s \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{k,s}(f_{k+m})| &\ll |\Delta^\ell_{h^{(k)}}(f_{k+m})(sh^{(k)})| \le 2^\ell \sup_{|y|\le 2^{-k}} |f_{k+m}(x+y)| \\ &\ll \sup_{|y|\le 2^{-k}} \frac{|f_{k+m}(x+y)|}{\left(1+2^k|y|\right)^\nu} \le P_{2^k,\nu}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for a continuous function g and $a\ge 0$,

$$P_{2^k,\nu}(g,x) \le 2^{\nu a} P_{2^{k+a},\nu}(g,x). \tag{3.13}$$

All these together give

$$|c_{k,s}(f_{k+m})| \ll P_{2^k,\nu}(f_{k+m},x) \le 2^{\nu m} P_{2^{k+m},\nu}(f_{k+m},x), \quad \forall m\ge 0. \tag{3.14}$$

On the other hand, if $m\ge -k$ we have by Lemma 3.4 another estimate the trigonometric polynomial f_{k+m} of degree 2^{k+m},

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{k,s}(f_{k+m})| &\ll |\Delta^\ell_{h^{(k)}}(f_{k+m})(sh^{(k)})| \\ &\ll \min(1,|2^{k+m}h^{(k)}|^\ell)\max(1,|2^{k+m}h^{(k)}|^\nu)\, P_{2^{k+m},\nu}(f_{k+m},sh^{(k)}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Hence, there holds the inequality

$$|c_{k,s}(f_{k+m})| \ll 2^{\ell m} P_{2^{k+m},\nu}(f_{k+m},x), \quad \forall m<0. \tag{3.16}$$

Indeed, if $m<0$ and $m+k\ge 0$,

$$P_{2^{k+m},\nu}(f_{k+m},sh^{(k)}) = \sup_{y\in\mathbb{T}} \frac{|f_{k+m}(sh^{(k)}+y)|}{(1+2^{k+m}|y|)^\nu} = \sup_{y\in\mathbb{T}} \frac{|f_{k+m}(x+y)|}{(1+2^{k+m}|sh^{(k)}-x+y|)^\nu}.$$

Since $|x-sh^{(k)}|\le 2^{-k}$, we have for all $m<0$,

$$1+2^{k+m}|sh^{(k)}-x+y| \ge 1+2^{k+m}(|y|-|sh^{(k)}-x|) \ge \frac{1}{2}(1+2^{k+m+1}(|y|),$$

and consequently, by (3.13),

$$P_{2^{k+m},\nu}(f_{k+m},sh^{(k)}) \le 2\sup_{y\in\mathbb{T}} \frac{|f_{k+m}(x+y)|}{(1+2^{k+m+1}|y|)^\nu} \le 4P_{2^{k+m},\nu}(f_{k+m},x)$$

which together with the equation $h^{(k)}=\ell^{-1}2^{-k}$ and (3.15) proves (3.16) $m<0$ and $m+k\ge 0$. In the case $m<0$ and $m+k<0$, (3.16) is trivial because by definition $f_{k+m}=0$. By combining (3.12), (3.14) and (3.14) we prove (3.11). The d-variate inequality (3.9) can be easily derived from the univariate inequality (3.11) by a tensor product argument.

We are now in position to estimate $A_{\mathbf{m}}(f)$. Indeed, putting for $\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$b_{\mathbf{m}} := \prod_{i=1}^d b_{m_i}, \quad b_{m_i} := \begin{cases} 2^{(\ell-r)m_i}, & \text{if } m_i<0; \\ 2^{(\nu-r)m_i}, & \text{if } m_i\ge 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

from (3.6) and (3.11) and Lemmas 3.5 and 3.1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mathbf{m}}(f) \;&\ll\; \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \Big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}|_1} b_{\mathbf{m}} P_{2^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}},\nu}(f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}) \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \\ &\ll\; b_{\mathbf{m}} \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \Big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}|_1} P_{2^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}},\nu}(f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}) \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \\ &\ll\; b_{\mathbf{m}} \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \Big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}|_1} (f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}) \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \\ &\le\; b_{\mathbf{m}} \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \Big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} f_{\mathbf{k}} \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \asymp\; b_{\mathbf{m}} \|f\|_{W_p^r}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Hence, by (3.6), (3.17) and the inequalities $\ell - r > 0$ and $\nu - r < 0$, we have

$$A(f) \;\le\; \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} A_{\mathbf{m}}(f) \;\ll\; \|f\|_{W_p^r} \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} b_{\mathbf{m}} \;\ll\; \|f\|_{W_p^r}. \tag{3.19}$$

The proof is complete. □

Theorem 3.1 has been proven in [7] for the case $\ell = 2$ in terms of Faber series (see Subsection 2.3).

3.4 Inverse theorem of sampling representation

Theorem 3.2 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < r < \ell - 1$. Then for every function f on $\mathbb{T}^d$ represented as a B-spline series*

$$f \;= \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} q_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I(\mathbf{k})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}} N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}, \tag{3.20}$$

we have $f \in W_p^r$ and

$$\|f\|_{W_p^r} \;\ll\; \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \Big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q_{\mathbf{k}} \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p, \tag{3.21}$$

whenever the right hand side is finite.

Proof. For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$, let $I^*(\mathbf{k})$ be the subset in $I(\mathbf{k})$ defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 it is sufficient to prove that for a function f on $\mathbb{T}^d$ represented as a B-spline series

$$f \;= \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} q^*_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I^*(\mathbf{k})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}} N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}, \tag{3.22}$$

we have

$$\|f\|_{W_p^r} \;\ll\; \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q^*_{\mathbf{k}} \big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p, \tag{3.23}$$

whenever the right hand side is finite. Due to Lemma 3.2 the last inequality is equivalent to

$$\Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+(e)} \Big(2^{(r+1)|\mathbf{k}|_1} \int_{P_{\mathbf{k}}} \big| \Delta^{\ell-1,e}_{\mathbf{h}}(f) \big| \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{h} \Big)^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \;\ll\; \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q^*_{\mathbf{k}} \big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p, \quad \forall e \subset [d]. \tag{3.24}$$

Let us verify this inequality for the case $e = [d]$ what is

$$B(f) := \left\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+} \Big(2^{(r+1)|\mathbf{k}|_1} \int_{P_\mathbf{k}} \big| \Delta^{\ell-1}_\mathbf{h}(f) \big| \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{h} \Big)^2 \Big)^{1/2} \right\|_p \ll \left\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+} \big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q^*_\mathbf{k} \big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \right\|_p . \tag{3.25}$$

The case $e \neq [d]$ can be proven similarly with a slight modification. For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \backslash \mathbb{Z}^d_+$, we introduce the convention: $I^*(\mathbf{k}) := \varnothing$, $q^*_\mathbf{k} := 0$, $c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}} := 0$, $N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}} := 0$ for $\mathbf{s} \in I^*(\mathbf{k})$. With this convention we can write

$$q^*_\mathbf{k} \;=\; \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in I^*(\mathbf{k})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}} N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}, \quad \forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Put $\mathbb{Z}^d(u) := \{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \, m_i > 0, \; i \in u, \; m_i \leq 0, \; i \notin u\}$ for $u \subset [d]$. Notice that we have for every $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \;=\; \sum_{u \subset [d]} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d(u)} q^*_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

which yields the inequality

$$B(f) \;\leq\; \sum_{u \subset [d]} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d(u)} B_\mathbf{m}(f), \tag{3.26}$$

where

$$B_\mathbf{m}(f) := \left\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+} \Big(2^{(r+1)|\mathbf{k}|_1} \int_{P_\mathbf{k}} \big| \Delta^{\ell-1}_\mathbf{h}(q^*_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}) \big| \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{h} \Big)^2 \Big)^{1/2} \right\|_p .$$

For a given $x \in \mathbb{T}$, we preliminarily estimate the univariate integral

$$2^k \int_{P_k} \big| \Delta^{\ell-1}_h(q^*_{k+m}, x) \big| \, \mathrm{d}h.$$

Let $I^*(k+m; x)$ be the subset in $I^*(k+m)$ of all s such that $|x - s2^{-k-m}| \leq \ell 2^{-k}$ if $k + m \geq 0$, and $I^*(k+m; x) = \varnothing$ if $k + m < 0$. Then from the equation

$$\Delta^{\ell-1}_h(q^*_{k+m}, x) \;=\; \sum_{s \in I^*(k+m;x)} c_{k+m,s} \, \Delta^{\ell-1}_h(N_{k+m,s}, x), \quad |h| \leq 2^{-k},$$

we have

$$2^k \int_{P_k} \big| \Delta^{\ell-1}_h(q^*_{k+m}, x) \big| \, \mathrm{d}h \;\leq\; \sum_{s \in I^*(k+m;x)} |c_{k+m,s}| \, 2^k \int_{|h| \leq 2^{-k}} \big| \Delta^{\ell-1}_h(N_{k+m,s}, x) \big| \, \mathrm{d}h$$

If $m > 0$, from the definition (2.17) we derive that

$$2^k \int_{|h| \leq 2^{-k}} \big| \Delta^{\ell-1}_h(N_{k+m,s}, x) \big| \, \mathrm{d}h \;\leq\; \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{\ell-1}{j} 2^k \int_{|h| \leq 2^{-k}} \big| N_{k+m,s}(x + jh) \big| \, \mathrm{d}h \;\ll\; N_{k+m,s}(x) + 2^{-m}.$$

Notice that for $k + m \geq 0$, the B-splines $N_{k+m,s}$ have the $\ell - 1$ derivative uniformly bounded by $C2^{(\ell-1)(k+m)}$ with an absolute constant C. Hence, we get for $|h| \leq 2^{-k}$,

$$\big| \Delta^{\ell-1}_h(N_{k+m,s}, x) \big| \;\ll\; |h|^{\ell-1} 2^{(\ell-1)(k+m)} \;\ll\; 2^{(\ell-1)m},$$

and consequently,

$$2^k \int_{|h| \leq 2^{-k}} \big| \Delta^{\ell-1}_h(N_{k+m,s}, x) \big| \, \mathrm{d}h \;\ll\; 2^{(\ell-1)m}.$$

Taking account that $q^*_{k+m} = 0$ for $k+m<0$, and summing up we arrive at the estimate

$$2^k \int_{P_k} \left|\Delta_h^{\ell-1}(q^*_{k+m},x)\right| \mathrm{d}h \;\ll\; \begin{cases} \sum_{s\in I^*(k+m;x)} |c_{k+m,s}|\big(N_{k+m,s}(x)+2^{-m}\big), & m\ge 0;\\ \sum_{s\in I^*(k+m;x)} |c_{k+m,s}| 2^{(\ell-1)m}, & m<0. \end{cases} \tag{3.27}$$

We introduce some notations: for $u\subset[d]$ and $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d$ $\bar u := [d]\setminus u$, $\mathbf{x}(u)$ is a the element in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $x(u)_i = x_i$ if $i\in u$ and $x(u)_i = 0$ otherwise. Then for a given $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{T}^d$, from (3.27) by a tensor product argument we obtain for every $\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+$ and every $\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+(u)$,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) :=\; & 2^{|\mathbf{k}|_1}\int_{P_\mathbf{k}} \left|\Delta_\mathbf{h}^{\ell-1}(q^*_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}},\mathbf{x})\right|\mathrm{d}\mathbf{h}\\ & \ll \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I^*(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{x})} |c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}| 2^{(\ell-1)|\mathbf{m}(\bar u)|_1} \prod_{i\in u} N_{k_i,s_i}(x_i) + \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I^*(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{x})} |c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}| 2^{(\ell-1)|\mathbf{m}(\bar u)|_1} 2^{-|\mathbf{m}(u)|_1}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

where $I^*(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{x}) := \prod_{i=1}^d I^*(k_i;x_i)$. By using the inequality for the univariate periodic B-splines

$$2^k\int_{\mathbb{T}} N_{k,s}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \;\ge\; C$$

with an absolute constant $C>0$, we can continue the estimation (3.28) for every $\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+$ and every $\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+(u)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) \;\ll\; & \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I^*(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{x})} |c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}| 2^{|\mathbf{k}(\bar u)|_1+\ell|\mathbf{m}(\bar u)|_1} \Big(\prod_{i\in u} N_{k_i,s_i}(x_i)\Big)\Big(\prod_{i\in\bar u}\int_{\mathbb{T}} N_{k_i,s_i}(x_i+h_i)\,\mathrm{d}h_i\Big)\\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I^*(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{x})} |c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}| 2^{|\mathbf{k}(u)|_1}\Big(\prod_{i\in u}\int_{\mathbb{T}} N_{k_i,s_i}(x_i+h_i)\,\mathrm{d}h_i\Big) 2^{|\mathbf{k}(\bar u)|_1+\ell|\mathbf{m}(\bar u)|_1}\Big(\prod_{i\in\bar u}\int_{\mathbb{T}} N_{k_i,s_i}(x_i+h_i)\,\mathrm{d}h_i\Big)\\ & = 2^{|\mathbf{k}(\bar u)|_1+\ell|\mathbf{m}(\bar u)|_1}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d}\Big|\sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I^*(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{x})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}} N_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m},\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}(\bar u))\Big|\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{h}\\ & + 2^{|\mathbf{k}(u)|_1} 2^{|\mathbf{k}(\bar u)|_1+\ell|\mathbf{m}(\bar u)|_1}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d}\Big|\sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I^*(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{x})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}} N_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m},\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h})\Big|\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{h}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

For a fixed $x\in\mathbb{T}$ consider the univariate function on $\mathbb{T}$ in variable y

$$G^x_{k+m}(y) := \sum_{s\in I^*(k+m;x)} c_{k+m,s} N_{k+m,s}(y),\quad k\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\ m\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

By the construction of the set $I^*(k+m;x)$ and the inequality $|\operatorname{supp}(N_{k+m,s})|\le 2^{-k-m}$, we have

$$|\operatorname{supp}(G^x_{k+m})| \;\ll\; \begin{cases} 2^{-k}, & m\ge 0;\\ 2^{-k-m}, & m<0. \end{cases} \tag{3.30}$$

Hence, by the definition of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function we receive for every $y\in\mathbb{T}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |G^x_{k+m}(y+h)|\,\mathrm{d}h \;\ll\; \begin{cases} 2^{-k} M(G^x_{k+m}(y)), & m\ge 0;\\ 2^{-k-m} M(G^x_{k+m}(y)), & m<0. \end{cases} \tag{3.31}$$

Let $u\subset[d]$ and $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{T}^d$ be given. We consider the function on $\mathbb{T}^d$ in variable $\mathbf{y}$

$$G^\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}) := \sum_{\mathbf{s}\in I^*(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m};\mathbf{x})} c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m},s} N_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m},\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{y}),\quad \mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+,\ \mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+(u).$$

From (3.31) by a tensor product argument we can show that for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{T}^d$, every $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$ and every $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+(u)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |G^{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{h})|\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{h} \;\ll\; 2^{-|\mathbf{k}(u)|_1-|\mathbf{k}(\bar{u})-|\mathbf{m}(\bar{u})|_1}\mathbf{M}(G^{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y})). \tag{3.32}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |G^{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{h}(\bar{u}))|\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{h} \;\ll\; 2^{-|\mathbf{k}(\bar{u})-|\mathbf{m}(\bar{u})|_1}\mathbf{M}(G^{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y})). \tag{3.33}$$

Applying these inequalities for $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}$ to the right hand side in (3.29), by the equation $G^{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) = q^*_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x})$ we arrive at

$$g_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) \;\ll\; 2^{-(\ell-1)|\mathbf{m}(\bar{u})|_1}\mathbf{M}(G^{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x})) \;=\; 2^{-(\ell-1)|\mathbf{m}(\bar{u})|_1}\mathbf{M}(q^*_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x})) \tag{3.34}$$

which by Lemma 3.3 yields for every $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+(u)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\mathbf{m}}(f) \;&=\; \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \Big(2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} g_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}} \Big)^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \\
&\ll\; 2^{(\ell-1-r)|\mathbf{m}(\bar{u})|_1-|\mathbf{m}(u)|_1} \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \Big(\mathbf{M}\big(2^{r|\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}|_1} q^*_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}\big) \Big)^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \\
&\ll\; 2^{(\ell-1-r)|\mathbf{m}(\bar{u})|_1-|\mathbf{m}(u)|_1} \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \Big(\big|2^{r|\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}|_1} q^*_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}\big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \\
&\le\; 2^{(\ell-1-r)|\mathbf{m}(\bar{u})|_1-|\mathbf{m}(u)|_1} \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \big|2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q^*_{\mathbf{k}}\big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p .
\end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality taking account of the inequality $\ell - 1 - r > 0$, we obtain

$$B(f) \;\ll\; \sum_{u\subset[d]} \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d(u)} 2^{(\ell-1-r)|\mathbf{m}(\bar{u})|_1-|\mathbf{m}(u)|_1} \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \big|2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q^*_{\mathbf{k}}\big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \;\ll\; \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \big|2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q^*_{\mathbf{k}}\big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p$$

which proves (3.25) and therefore, the theorem. □

Theorem 3.2 has been proven in [7] for the case $\ell = 2$ in terms of Faber series (see Subsection 2.3).

Corollary 3.1 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2}) < r < \ell - 1$. Then we have*

$$\Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} \Big|2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \asymp \|f\|_{W^r_p}, \quad \forall f \in W^r_p.$$

3.5 Theorems of sampling representation in Besov spaces

Theorems on B-spline quasi-interpolation sampling representations with discrete equivalent quasi-norm in terms of coefficient functionals have been proved in [15]–[18], [20] for various non-periodic Besov spaces. Let us now state direct and inverse theorems on a quasi-interpolation representation in periodic spaces $B^r_{p,\theta}$ by the B-splines series (2.16), which can be proven in the same way as for non-periodic Besov spaces.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $0 < p, \theta \le \infty$ and $1/p < r < 2\ell$. Then every function $f \in B^r_{p,\theta}$ can be represented as the series* (2.16) *converging in the norm of $B^r_{p,\theta}$, and there holds the inequality*

$$\Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+} 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1\theta} \|q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\|^\theta_p \Big)^{1/\theta} \;\ll\; \|f\|_{B^r_{p,\theta}}$$

for all $f \in B^r_{p,\theta}$, with the sum over $\mathbf{k}$ changing to the supremum when $\theta = \infty$.

Theorem 3.4 *Let $0 < p, \theta \le \infty$ and $0 < r < \min\{2\ell, 2\ell - 1 + 1/p\}$. Then for every function f on $\mathbb{T}^d$ represented as a B-spline series (3.20) belongs to $B^r_{p,\theta}$ and*

$$\|f\|_{B^r_{p,\theta}} \ll \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+} 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1 \theta} \|q_{\mathbf{k}}\|_p^\theta \Big)^{1/\theta}$$

with the sum over $\mathbf{k}$ changing to the supremum when $\theta = \infty$, whenever the right hand side is finite.

Corollary 3.2 *Let $0 < p, \theta \le \infty$ and $1/p < r < \min\{2\ell, 2\ell - 1 + 1/p\}$. Then a periodic function $f \in B^r_{p,\theta}$ can be represented by the B-spline series (2.16) satisfying the relation*

$$\Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+} 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1 \theta} \|q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\|_p^\theta \Big)^{1/\theta} \asymp \|f\|_{B^r_{p,\theta}}$$

with the sum over $\mathbf{k}$ changing to the supremum when $\theta = \infty$.

4 Sampling recovery

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we define the operator R_m by

$$R_m(f) := \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_1 \le m} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) = \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_1 \le m} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in I(\mathbf{k})} c_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(f)\, N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}.$$

For functions f on $\mathbb{T}^d$, R_m defines the linear sampling algorithm on the Smolyak grid $G^d(m)$

$$R_m(f) = S_n(\mathbf{Y}_n, \Phi_n, f) = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in G^d(m)} f(\mathbf{y}) \psi_{\mathbf{y}},$$

where $n := |G^d(m)|$, $\mathbf{Y}_n := \{\mathbf{y} \in G^d(m)\}$, $\Phi_n := \{\varphi_{\mathbf{y}}\}_{\mathbf{y} \in G^d(m)}$ and for $\mathbf{y} = 2^{-\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{s}$, $\varphi_{\mathbf{y}}$ are explicitly constructed as linear combinations of at most at most m_0 B-splines $N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{j}}$ for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ which is independent of $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}, m$ and f.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $\max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2}) < r < \ell$. Then we have*

$$\big\|f - R_m(f)\big\|_q \ll \|f\|_{W^r_p} \times \begin{cases} 2^{-rm} m^{(d-1)/2}, & p \ge q, \\ 2^{-(r-1/p+1/q)m} & p < q, \end{cases} \quad \forall f \in W^r_p. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Let f be a function in W^r_p, since $r > \frac{1}{p}$, f is continuous on $\mathbb{T}^d$ and consequently, we obtain by Lemma 2.1

$$f - R_m(f) = \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_1 > m} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \tag{4.2}$$

with uniform convergence.

We first consider the case $p \ge q$. Due to the inequality $\|f\|_q \le \|f\|_p$, it is sufficient prove this case of the theorem for $p = q$. From (4.2) and the Hölder inequality and Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|f - R_m(f)\big\|_p &= \Big\| \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_1 > m} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \Big\|_p \le \Big\| \Big(\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_1 > m} 2^{-2r|\mathbf{k}|_1} \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_1 > m} \big|2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \\ &\le \Big(\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_1 > m} 2^{-2r|\mathbf{k}|_1} \Big)^{1/2} \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+} \big|2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \ll 2^{-rm} m^{(d-1)/2} \|f\|_{W^r_p}. \end{aligned}$$

We next consider the case $p < q$. From [1, Lemma 3] one can prove the inequality

$$\|f\|_q \ \ll \ \|f\|_{W_p^{1/p-1/q}}, \quad \forall f \in W_p^{1/p-1/q}. \tag{4.3}$$

Hence, by (4.2), Theorems 3.2 and 3.1 we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|f - R_m(f)\big\|_q \ &\ll \ \Big\| \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_1 > m} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \Big\|_{W_p^{1/p-1/q}} \ \ll \ \Big\| \Big(\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_1 > m} \big| 2^{(1/p-1/q)|\mathbf{k}|_1} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \\ &\le \ 2^{-(r-1/p+1/q)m} \Big\| \Big(\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \big| 2^{r|\mathbf{k}|_1} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_p \ \ll \ 2^{-(r-1/p+1/q)m} \, \|f\|_{W_p^r}. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is completely proven. □

Denote by U_p^r the unit ball in the space W_p^r.

Corollary 4.1 *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $r > \max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2})$. Then we have*

$$r_n(U_p^r, L_q) \ \ll \ \begin{cases} \left(\frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{n} \right)^r (\log n)^{(d-1)/2}, & p \ge q, \\ \left(\frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{n} \right)^{(r-1/p+1/q)}, & p < q. \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. This corollary (4.4) is directly derived from (4.1) by considering special values of $n = |G^d(m)| \asymp 2^m m^{d-1}$. □

Corollary 4.2 *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $r > \max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2})$. Then we have*

$$r_n^s(U_p^r, L_q) \ \asymp \ \begin{cases} \left(\frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{n} \right)^r (\log n)^{(d-1)/2}, & p \ge q, \\ \left(\frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{n} \right)^{(r-1/p+1/q)}, & p < q. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. We fix an even number $\ell = 2^\nu$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r < \ell - 1$. Consider the operator $R_{m+d\nu}$ constructed on the B-splines of order ℓ. It is a sampling algorithm on the grid $G^d(m)$. The upper bounds of (4.5) is directly derived from (4.1) and the relations $n \asymp |G^d(m)| \asymp 2^m m^{d-1}$ for the largest m such that $|G^d(m)| \le n$.

To prove the lower bounds, based on the obvious inequality

$$r_n^s(U_p^r, L_q) \ \ge \ \inf_{|G^d(m)| \le n} \ \sup_{f \in U_p^r: \ f(\mathbf{y}) = 0, \ \mathbf{y} \in G^d(m)} \|f\|_q, \tag{4.6}$$

we will construct test functions $g \in U_p^r$ with $g(\mathbf{y}) = 0, \ \forall \mathbf{y} \in G^d(m)$, and then estimate from below the norm $\|g\|_q$. Take the index set $I^*(\mathbf{k})$ as in (3.3) and consider the test function

$$g_1 \ := \ C_1 2^{-rm} m^{-(d-1)/2} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_1 = m} \ \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in I^*(\mathbf{k})} N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}$$

with a constant C_1. Here $N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}$ are the d-variate periodic B-splines of ℓ. By the construction one can verify that $g_1(\mathbf{y}) = 0, \ \forall \mathbf{y} \in G^d(m)$. By applying Theorem 3.2 and the inequality

$$\Big| \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in I^*(\mathbf{k})} N_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}) \Big| \le \ 1, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d, \tag{4.7}$$

we can see that $g_1 \in U_p^r$ for some properly chosen value of C_1. Hence, by (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned} r_n^s(U_p^r, L_q) &\geq \|g_1\|_q \geq \|g_1\|_1 \gg 2^{-rm} m^{(d-1)/2} \\ &\asymp \left(\frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{n}\right)^r (\log n)^{(d-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lower bound of the case $p \geq q$. For the case $p < q$, we take a $\mathbf{k}^*$ with $|\mathbf{k}^*|_1 = m$ and a $\mathbf{s}^* \in I^*(\mathbf{k})$, and consider the test function

$$g_2 := C_2 2^{-(r-1/p)m} N_{\mathbf{k}^*,\mathbf{s}^*}$$

with a constant C_2. Similarly to the function g_1, we have $g_2(\mathbf{y}) = 0$, $\forall \mathbf{y} \in G^d(m)$, and $g_2 \in U_p^r$ for some properly chosen value of C_2. Hence, by (4.6) we obtain

$$r_n^s(U_p^r, L_q) \geq \|g_2\|_q \gg 2^{-(r-1/p+1/q)m} \asymp \left(\frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{n}\right)^{(r-1/p+1/q)}$$

which proves the lower bound of the case $p < q$. □

From Theorem 4.1 and the proof of the lower bounds in Corollary 4.2 we also obtain

Corollary 4.3 *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $\max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2}) < r < \ell$. Then we have*

$$\sup_{f \in U_p^r} \left\|f - R_m(f)\right\|_q \asymp \begin{cases} 2^{-rm} m^{(d-1)/2}, & p \geq q, \\ 2^{-(r-1/p+1/q)m} & p < q. \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

Theorem 4.2 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $1/p < r < \ell$. Then we have*

$$\sup_{f \in U_p^r} \left\|f - R_m(f)\right\|_\infty \asymp 2^{-(r-1/p)m} m^{(d-1)(1-1/p)}. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. The upper bound follows from the embedding $W_p^r \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,p}^{r-1/p}$ [30] (see also [19, Lemma 3.7]) and the estimate

$$\sup_{f \in U_{\infty,p}^{r-1/p}} \left\|f - R_m(f)\right\|_\infty \ll 2^{-(r-1/p)m} m^{(d-1)(1-1/p)}$$

proven in [17, Theorem 3.1], where $U_{\infty,p}^{r-1/p}$ is the unit ball in $B_{\infty,p}^{r-1/p}$. The lower bound can be proven in a similar way to that of [40, Theorem 2.1]. □

Corollary 4.4 *Let $1 < p < q \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p < q < \infty$ and $r > \max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2})$. Then we have*

$$r_n(U_p^r, L_q) \asymp \left(\frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{n}\right)^{(r-1/p+1/q)}. \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. The upper bound of (4.10) already is in Corollary 4.1. To prove the lower bound we compare the sampling width with the well known linear width which is defined by

$$\lambda_n(U_p^r, L_q) := \inf_{\Lambda_n} \sup_{f \in W} \|f - \Lambda_n(f)\|_q,$$

where the infimum is taken over all linear operators Λ_n of rank n in the normed space L_q. The lower bound follows from the inequality $r_n(U_p^r, L_q) \geq \lambda_n(U_p^r, L_q)$ and the inequality

$$\lambda_n(U_p^r, L_q) \gg \left(\frac{(\log n)^{d-1}}{n}\right)^{(r-1/p+1/q)} \tag{4.11}$$

proven in [22] (see also [23]). □

Corollary 4.4 has been proven in [5] for the case $2 = p < q \le \infty$.

Final remarks. All the results in this paper can be in a natural way extended to the Sobolev space $W_p^{\mathbf{r}}$ and class $U_p^{\mathbf{r}}$ of nonuniform mixed smoothness $\mathbf{r}$ with $r = r_1 = \cdots = r_\nu < r_{\nu+1} \le r_{\nu+2} \le \cdots \le r_d$ by using the same methods and techniques. In particular, the direct and inverse Littlewood-Paley-type theorems of B-spline sampling representation for the space $W_p^{\mathbf{r}}$ hold true, and in the results on asymptotic orders, upper and lower bounds of $r_n^s(U_p^{\mathbf{r}}, L_q)$ and $r_n(U_p^{\mathbf{r}}, L_q)$ the number d is replaced by ν.

The direct and inverse theorems of B-spline sampling representation for Sobolev spaces of mixed smoothness in Section 3 can be also easily extended to Triebel-Lizorkin spaces of mixed smoothness. With the extended theory of trigonometric sampling representation to Triebel-Lizorkin spaces the authors of [6] are able to strengthen the results for Sobolev spaces and remove the smoothness restriction $r > \max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2})$ in the Sobolev context.

Acknowledgments. This work is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under Grant No. A part of this work was done when the author was working as a research professor at the Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics (VIASM). He would like to thank the VIASM for providing a fruitful research environment and working condition. The author would like to thank Glenn Byrenheid and Tino Ullrich for giving opportunity to read the manuscript [7]. He thanks Glenn Byrenheid, Vladimir Temlyakov and Tino Ullrich for useful discussions.

References

[1] O.V. Besov, Multiplicative estimates for integral norms of differentiable functions of several variables, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 131(1974), 1-14.

[2] O.V. Besov, V. P. Il'in and S. M.Nikol'skii, Integral representations of functions and imbedding theorems, Vol. 1. Halsted Press, 1978.

[3] O. Bokanowski, J. Garcke, M. Griebel, and I. Klompmaker. An adaptive sparse grid semi-Lagrangian scheme for first order Hamilton-Jacobi Bellman equations. Journal of Scientific Computing, 55(3):575-605, 2013.

[4] H.-J. Bungartz and M. Griebel, Sparse grids, Acta Numer., **13**(2004), 147–269.

[5] G. Byrenheid, D. Dũng, W. Sickel, and T. Ullrich, Sampling on energy-norm based sparse grids for the optimal recovery of Sobolev type functions in H^γ, J. Approx. Theory **207**(2016), 207-231.

[6] G. Byrenheid and T. Ullrich, Discrete Littlewood–Paley type characterizations and applications to optimal sampling recovery of d-variate periodic functions, arxiv e-print 2016, arXiv:1603.04809.

[7] G. Byrenheid and T. Ullrich, The Faber-Schauder system in spaces with bounded mixed derivative and nonlinear approximation, Preprint 2016.

[8] C.K. Chui, An Introduction to Wavelets, Academic Press, New York, 1992.

[9] C. de Bore, K. Höllig, S. Riemenschneider, Box Spline, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.

[10] Dinh Dũng, On recovery and one-sided approximation of periodic functions of several variables, Dokl. Akad. SSSR **313**(1990), 787–790.

[11] Dinh Dũng, On optimal recovery of multivariate periodic functions, In: Harmonic Analysis (Conference Proceedings, Ed. S. Igary), Springer-Verlag 1991, Tokyo-Berlin, pp. 96-105.

[12] Dinh Dũng, Optimal recovery of functions of a certain mixed smoothness, Vietnam J. Math. **20**(2)(1992), 18-32.

[13] Dinh Dũng, Continuous algorithms in n-term approximation and non-linear widths, J. Approx. Theory. **102** (2000), 217–242.

[14] Dinh Dũng, Non-linear approximations using sets of finite cardinality or finite pseudo-dimension, J. Complexity **17**(2001), 467–492.

[15] Dinh Dũng, Non-linear sampling recovery based on quasi-interpolant wavelet representations, Adv. Comput. Math. **30**(2009), 375–401.

[16] Dinh Dũng, Optimal adaptive sampling recovery, Adv. Comput. Math.., **34**(2011), 1–41.

[17] Dinh Dũng, B-spline quasi-interpolant representations and sampling recovery of functions with mixed smoothness, Journal of Complexity **27**(2011), 541–467.

[18] Dinh Dũng, Sampling and cubature on sparse grids based on a B-spline quasi-interpolation, Found. Comp. Math. **16**(2016), 1193-1240.

[19] Dinh Dũng, V. N. Temlyakov and T. Ullrich, Hyperbolic cross approximation, ArXiv e-prints, 2015. arXiv:1601.03978 [math.NA].

[20] Dinh Dũng and T. Ullrich, Lower bounds for the integration error for multivariate functions with mixed smoothness and optimal Fibonacci cubature for functions on the square, Math. Nachr. **288**(2015), 743762.

[21] C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein, Some maximal inequalities, Amer. J. Math. **93**(1972), 107-115.

[22] E.M. Galeev, On linear widths of classes of periodic functions of several variables Vestnik MGU, Ser.1 Mat.-Mekh., No 4(1987), 13-16.

[23] E.M. Galeev, Linear widths of Hölder-Nikol'skii classes of periodic functions of several variables Mat. Zametki, 59(1996), 189-199.

[24] J. Garcke and M. Hegland. Fitting multidimensional data using gradient penalties and the sparse grid combination technique. Computing, 84(1-2):1-25, April 2009.

[25] T. Gerstner and M. Griebel, Sparse grids, In R. Cont, editor, Encyclopedia of Quantitative Finance, John Wiley and Sons, 2010.

[26] M. Griebel, H. Harbrecht, A note on the construction of L-fold sparse tensor product spaces, Constructive Approximation, 38(2):235-251, 2013.

[27] M. Griebel and M. Holtz, Dimension-wise integration of high-dimensional functions with applications to finance, J. Complexity, 26:455-489, 2010.

[28] M. Griebel and H. Harbrecht, On the construction of sparse tensor product spaces, Mathematics of Computations 82(282):975-994, Apr. 2013.

[29] R.S. Ismagilov, Widths of sets in normed linear spaces and the approximation of functions by trigonometric polynomials, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **29** (1974), 161–178; English transl. in Russian Math. Surveys, **29** (1974).

[30] B. Jawerth, Some observations on Besov and Lizorkin-Triebel spaces, *Math. Scand.* **40**(1) (1977), 94–104.

[31] M. Griebel and S. Knapek, Optimized general sparse grid approximation spaces for operator equations. Math. Comp., **78**(268)(2009), 2223–2257.

[32] A. Romanyuk, Best approximations and widths of classes of periodic functions of several variables, Mat. Sb. 199(2008), 93-144.

[33] N. Nikol'skaya, Approximation of periodic functions in the class SH_p^r* by Fourier sums, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 16(1975), 761-780; English transl. in Siberian Math. J. 16 (1975).

[34] W. Sickel, T. Ullrich, The Smolyak algorithm, sampling on sparse grids and function spaces of dominating mixed smoothness, East J. Approx. **13**(2007), 387–425.

[35] W. Sickel, T. Ullrich, Spline Interpolation on sparse grids, Applicable Analysis, **90**(2011), 337–383.

[36] H.J. Schmeisser and H. Triebel, Topics in Fourier Analysis and function spaces, Wiley, 1987.

[37] S.A. Smolyak, Quadrature and interpolation formulas for tensor products of certain classes of functions, Dokl. Akad. Nauk **148**(1963), 1042–1045.

[38] V. Temlyakov, Approximation recovery of periodic functions of several variables, Mat. Sb. **128**(1985), 256–268.

[39] V.N. Temlyakov, Approximation of periodic functions of several variables by trigonometric polynomials, and widths of some classes of functions, Izv. AN SSSR, **49** (1985), 986–1030; English transl. in Math. Izv. **27** (1986).

[40] V. Temlyakov, On approximate recovery of functions with bounded mixed derivative, J. Complexity **9**(1993), 41-59.

[41] V. Temlyakov, Approximation of periodic functions, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 1993.

[42] V. Tikhomirov, Widths of sets in a functional space and the theory of the best approximations, Usp. Mat. Nauk, **15**(1960), 81-120.

[43] H. Triebel, Bases in function spaces, sampling, discrepancy, numerical integration, European Math. Soc. Publishing House, Zürich, 2010.

[44] T. Ullrich, Smolyak's algorithm, sampling on sparse grids and Sobolev spaces of dominating mixed smoothness. East J. Approx., **14**(2008), 1–38.

[45] T. Ullrich, Function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness, characterization by differences, Technical report, Jenaer Schriften zur Math. und Inform., Math/Inf/05/06, 2006.

[46] C. Zenger, Sparse grids, in Parallel Algorithms for Partial Differential Equations (W. Hackbusch, ed.), Vol. 31 of Notes on Numerical Fluid Mechanics, Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1991.